

# Why Choose Rich Rotstein

Our experience, proven track record, expertise, professionalism, and the quality of services rendered, all add up to make Rich Rotstein LLP a pre-eminent Litigation Accounting and Business Valuation firm. Additionally our services are competitively priced and, where possible, we provide advance cost estimates. We are proud of our track record and have earned our place as a pre-eminent Litigation Accounting and Business Valuation firm.

There is nothing typical about a day at Rich Rotstein LLP. Focusing on the big picture and concentrating on those issues which are most important, at any time, we may be assisting a plaintiff on one assignment and a defendant on another with one or more of the following:

- Motor vehicle accident and other personal injury claims
- Commercial economic loss claims including breach of contract and business interruption
- Business valuations
- Wrongful death claims
- No-fault insurance (income replacement benefit) claims
- Long-term disability claims
- Product liability claims
- Shareholder and partnership disputes
- Professional negligence
- Wrongful employment termination calculations
- Pension calculations
- Insurance claim evaluations
- Review and critique of professional reports

Our Chartered Accountants, Business Valuators, Economists and Actuaries, use their experience and skills to objectively enhance the quality and credibility of each client's case.



Selected reported cases in which we have been involved include:

## Desbiens v. Mordini [2004, CanLII 41166 (ON S.C.)]

### Damages attributable to a motor vehicle accident

We were hired by the defendant to review the appropriateness and accuracy of future cost-of-care calculations done for the Plaintiff. Prior to the commencement of trial, the parties reached agreement as to the quantum of future care costs.

## Walker v. Ritchie [2003, CanLII 17106 (ON S.C.)]

### Damages attributable to a motor vehicle accident

The court agreed with our testimony that it was inappropriate to arbitrarily reduce an award based on lower female versus gender neutral income statistics. Additionally, the court agreed with our computation of damages for “loss of interdependent relationship.”

On appeal, the Ontario Court of Appeal confirmed that the use of lower female income statistics on an arbitrary basis is discriminatory, and endorsed our computation methodology for “loss of interdependent relationship.”

## Gill v. Zurich Insurance [1999, O.J. No. 4333 (ON S.C.)]

### Damages attributable to a motor vehicle accident

We were hired by the Plaintiff to review computations done by accountants hired by the insurer. The court accepted our evidence that holding a small business person to the same accounting standards as a major corporation represented an unfair and “punitive” approach.

## Huisman v. MacDonald [2005, CanLII 5011 (ON S.C.)]

### Damages attributable to medical malpractice

We were hired by the Plaintiff to provide expert evidence as to the operation of the legislated discount rate and gross up for income taxes under subclauses 53.09(1) and 53.09(2) of the Ontario Rules of Civil procedure.

## J.R.S. v. Glendinning [2004, CanLII 5011 (ON S.C.)]

### Damages attributable to sexual assaults

We were hired by the Defendant to review and comment on income losses computed for the three Plaintiffs, and determine the extent to which general labour market contingencies and contingencies specific to the individual Plaintiffs should be taken into consideration. The losses resulted from sexual assaults by Glendinning while the Plaintiffs were minors.