

# Why You Should Choose Us

Our experience, proven track record, expertise, staff quality, and the quality of services rendered, all add up to make Rich Rotstein your best choice. Plus, our services are competitively priced and, where possible, we provide advance cost estimates.

As a pre-eminent litigation accounting firm, there is nothing typical about a day at Rich Rotstein.

At any time, we may be assisting a plaintiff on one assignment and a defendant on another with:

- Motor vehicle accident and other personal injury claims
- Commercial economic loss claims including breach of contract and business interruption
- Business valuations
- Wrongful death claims
- No-fault insurance (income replacement benefit) claims
- Long-term disability claims
- Product liability claims
- Shareholder and partnership disputes
- Wrongful termination calculations
- Pension calculations
- Insurance claim evaluations
- Review and critique of professional reports

Our professionals include Chartered Accountants, Business Valuators, Economists and Actuaries. We use our experience and skills in accounting, auditing, business valuation, economics and actuarial science to identify the issues, and objectively enhance the quality and credibility of a client's case.

Selected reported cases in which we have been involved include:

## **Desbiens v. Mordini [2004, CanLII 41166 (ON S.C.)]**

### **Damages attributable to a motor vehicle accident**

We were hired by the Defendant to review the appropriateness and accuracy of future cost-of-care calculations done for the Plaintiff. Prior to the commencement of trial, the parties reached agreement as to the quantum of future care costs.

## **Walker v. Ritchie [2003, CanLII 17106 (ON S.C.)]**

### **Damages attributable to a motor vehicle accident**

The court agreed with our testimony that it was inappropriate to arbitrarily reduce an award based on lower female versus gender neutral income statistics. Additionally, the court agreed with our computation of damages for “loss of interdependent relationship.”

On appeal, the Ontario Court of Appeal confirmed that the use of lower female income statistics on an arbitrary basis is discriminatory, and endorsed our computation methodology for “loss of interdependent relationship.”

## **Gill v. Zurich Insurance [1999, O.J. No. 4333 (ON S.C.)]**

### **Damages attributable to a motor vehicle accident**

We were hired by the Plaintiff to review computations done by accountants hired by the insurer. The court accepted our evidence that holding a small business person to the same accounting standards as a major corporation represented an unfair and “punitive” approach.

## **Huisman v. MacDonald [2005, CanLII 5011 (ON S.C.)]**

### **Damages attributable to medical malpractice**

We were hired by the Plaintiff to provide expert evidence as to the operation of the legislated discount rate and gross up for income taxes under subclauses 53.09(1) and 53.09(2) of the Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure.

## **J.R.S. v. Glendinning [2004, CanLII 5011 (ON S.C.)]**

### **Damages attributable to sexual assaults**

We were hired by the Defendant to review and comment on income losses computed by the three Plaintiffs, and determine the extent to which general labour market contingencies and contingencies specific to the individual Plaintiffs should be taken into consideration. The losses resulted from sexual assaults by Glendinning while the Plaintiffs were minors.